Cellular Environment Directs Differentiation of Human Umbilical Cord Blood-derived Neural Stem Cells in vitro
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Abstract

Cord blood-derived neural stem cells (NSC) are proposed as an alternative cell source to repair brain damage upon transplantation. However, there is a lack of data showing how these cells are driven to generate desired phenotypes by recipient nervous tissue. Previous research indicates that local environment provides signals driving the fate of stem cells. In order to investigate the impact of these local cues interaction, we used a model of cord blood-derived NSCs co-culture with different rat brain-specific primary cultures creating the neural-like microenvironment conditions in vitro. Neuronal, as well as astro-, oligo- and micro-glia cell cultures were obtained by the previously described methods. The CMFDA-labeled neural stem cells were originated from unique, non-transformed human umbilical cord blood cell line (HUCB-NSCs) established in our laboratory. We have shown that the close vicinity of astrocytes and oligodendrocytes promotes neuronal differentiation of HUCB-NSCs, while postmitotic neurones induce oligodendrogliogenesis of these cells. In turn, microglia or endothelial cells used as a contact cell culture system with HUCB-NSC do not favor any phenotypes of their neural commitment. Our studies have confirmed that HUCB-NSC can read cues from the neurogenic microenvironment attaining features of neurons, astrocytes or oligodendrocytes. The specific responses of neurally committed cord blood-derived cells, reported in the present work, are very much similar to those described previously for NSCs derived from other “more typical” sources. This proves their genuine neural nature still further. Apart from having a better insight into the neurogenesis in adult brain, these findings might be important when predicting the cord blood-cell derivatives behavior after their transplantation in neurological disorders.
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Introduction

The discovery of active adult neurogenesis in human brain has raised great hopes for possible replacement therapies in neurological disorders (Johansson et al., 1999; Nunes et al., 2003; Sanai et al., 2004; Quinones-Hinojosa et al., 2006; Jin et al., 2006; Curtis et al., 2007). However, the success of clinical stem cell-based strategies will depend on detailed understanding of stem cell biology and precise evaluation of their functional efficacy and safety in preclinical animal models. Assumptions regarding the inherent limitation of the mature central nervous system (CNS) in replacing lost neurons focus upon local cellular and molecular microenvironment as the primary impediment to neural repair (Cova et al., 2004; Lindvall & Kokaia, 2005; Munoz et al., 2005; Pluchino et al., 2005). The last decade has witnessed ground-breaking advances in human somatic stem cell isolation from different sources i.e. bone marrow, peripheral blood, cord blood, amniotic fluid in term of transplantation. These cells were able to differentiate into neural cells (Sanchez-Ramos et al., 2000; Buzanska et al., 2002; Mezey et al., 2003; Hermann et al., 2004; Walczak et al., 2004; De Coppi et al., 2007).

In vitro studies performed by our group previously documented unexpected phenotypic plasticity of progenitor cells present in mononuclear cell fraction of cord blood. According to our data freshly-isolated HUCB cells express Oct3/4, Sox2, Mdr1 and Rex1 genes, being the master regulators of the pluripotent stem cell state (Habich et al., 2006). During cell culture in particular conditions HUCB cells start to express pro-neural genes (Nestin, GFAP, NF200) and then the wide panel of neural markers (β-Tubulin III, MAP2, GFAP, S100 β, 04, GalC) comes into view. Due to repeated expansion of HUCB cells and selection of non-adherent proliferating cells we have established the first, expanding, indefinitely growing human umbilical cord blood neural-like stem cell line (HUCB-NSCs) (Buzanska et al., 2006; Domanska-Janik et al., 2008). HUCB-NSCs retain their potential pluripotency, while executing exclusively neural-restricted gene expression as well as differentiate in vitro into neural-type cells (Jurga et al., 2006).

Early efforts in investigating the contributions made by adult CNS after human stem cell transplantation gave little information because of limited cell survival rate due to host-recipient disparity (Kogler et al., 2004; Walczak et al., 2004; Kuh et al., 2005; Pan et al., 2005; Liu et al., 2006; Kozlowska et al., 2007). In order to better understand the local environmental cues on neural stem cells it is helpful to recreate CNS micro-environmental conditions in vitro.
According to data obtained from several studies, astrocytes and microglia are essential to neurogenesis. However, the cellular and molecular mechanisms regulating neurogenic and gliogenic processes are still a matter of hot debate. We previously demonstrated that astrocytes isolated from neonatal rats induce the neuronal differentiation of neural stem cells derived from human cord blood (HUCB-NSCs) (Jurga et al., 2006). In the present work we further characterized the functional properties of other brain cells i.e. microglia, neurons and oligodendrocytes determining HUCB-NSCs fate. Such effects are compared with those exerted by astrocytes.
Materials and methods

HUCB-NSC line culture

Neural-like stem cell line derived from human umbilical cord blood (HUCB-NSCs) (Buzanska et al., 2006) was cultured in DMEM/F12 medium (Gibco) supplemented with 2% FBS (Gibco), ITS (1:100; Gibco) and antibiotic-antimycotic solution (AAS, 1:100, Sigma). The cell culture was maintained at 37°C, 5%CO₂ in a fully humidified atmosphere, fed every 3-4 days, trypsinized every 10 days and re-seeded at the density of 5x10⁴ cells/cm².

Cell labeling with CMFDA cell tracker

HUCB-NSCs cultured in vitro were collected by trypsinization into a 15-ml centrifuge tube at a density of 10⁶ cells in 400 µl of DMEM/F12 medium. The cells were incubated with 10 mM 5-chloromethyl-fluorescein-diacetate (CMFDA) cell tracker (Molecular Probes) at 37°C for 30 min, washed twice with medium and counted using a fluorescent microscope.

Astrocyte, oligodendrocyte or microglial cell enriched cultures

The brain hemispheres of neonatal Wistar rats were used to prepare mixed glial primary cell culture. All the procedures were approved by our Ethics Committee on Animal Care and Use. Briefly, the dissected tissue was placed in Ca²⁺ and Mg²⁺-free HBSS (Gibco), dispersed mechanically with a Pasteur pipette and 22 µm needles. The cells were filtered using 41 µm Millipore membranes, spun down (1000 rpm, 10 min) and plated into 75 cm² culture flasks pre-coated with 0.1 mg/ml poly-L-lysine at the density of 2x10⁵/cm² in DMEM+10% FBS medium. Half of the medium volume was replaced with fresh medium every 2 days. After 12-14 days, when the cells become confluent, the particular types of neural cells were isolated according to modified procedure previously (McCarthy & de Vellis, 1980), based on their different adhesion properties. Accordingly, the cell cultures were rinsed with complete medium and shaken first for 1 hour on an orbital shaker (160 rpm) at 37°C to remove microglial fraction, then-after the medium replacement—for additional 15-18 h with the aim of gently detaching oligodendrocytes. Floating microglial cells obtained by mild shaking for 1h at 37°C were transferred to new culture flask 75 cm² for 1h, at the density of 1.5-2x10⁵/cm². After 1h, the cultures were washed with fresh medium to remove nonadhering cells and cultured in DMEM+10% FBS for 1 week to become confluent. Adherent cells after trypsinization were re-plated on poly-L-lysine coated
coverslips placed in 24-well culture plates at the density of $10^5$ cells/cm$^2$ and cultured for 7 DIV. **Oligodendrocyte** progenitors obtained by this sequential dislodging method were spun down (1000 rpm, 10 min), mechanically dispersed with 22 µm needle in F12/DMEM medium with ITS supplement and then filtered through 41 µm Millipore membranes. The cells were seeded in 2 x $10^5$/cm$^2$ density on poly-L-lysine coated cover slips placed in 24-well plates (NUNC) and cultured for 7 days in DMEM/F12 medium without serum to obtain the differentiated GalC-positive oligodendrocytes. After removing the floating cells, the adherent **astrocyte** fraction was trypsinized and re-plated on poly-L-lysine coated coverslips placed in 24-well tissue culture plates ($10^5$ cells/cm$^2$) in 500 µl of DMEM+10% FBS medium. On day 7, cytosine arabinose (20 µM) was added to eliminate proliferating cells. After 3 days of culture we changed the medium to DMEM+10% FBS medium and kept the cells growing for additional 2 days until fully recovered.

**Neuronal cell enriched cultures**
The neuronal primary cell cultures were prepared from the brains of neonatal Wistar rats according to the previously described procedure (Dotti et al., 1988; Goslin & Banker, 1989; Brewer et al., 1993) with slight modifications. Neonatal rat hippocampus and cortex were dissected from the brains, treated with 0.25% Trypsin (Gibco) for 15 min. at 37°C, and dissociated by trituration. Then the dissociated cells were incubated in the mixture of DNA-se (Roche), MgSO$_4$ and Trypsin inhibitor (Sigma) in HBSS (Gibco) for 3 min. and the mixture of cells was additionally triturated, 10-15 times using the Pasteur pipette. When the nondispersed tissue settled down, the supernatant was collected and centrifuged for 1-2 min, 200g, at 4°C. The cells from the pellet were gently re-suspended in NB (Gibco) medium supplemented with B27 (Gibco) and L-glutamine (Sigma) and plated on poli-L-lysine treated glass coverslips placed in 24-well plates, in a density of 2-3 x $10^5$ cells/cm$^2$. On day 2, cytosine arabinose (10µM) was added to eliminate proliferating cells. Then after 2 DIV, the medium was changed to Neurobasal medium containing B27 and L-glutamine. The cells were kept for 7-10 days, to obtain the confluent cell culture.

**Endothelial (t-END) cell culture**
The endothelial transformed t-End line cells isolated from thymus capillary of mice were plated on poly-L-lysine 25 cm² dishes and cultured for 7 days in DMEM+10% FBS medium to reach the confluence. The cells were re-plated at the density of 2x10⁵ cells/cm² on poly-L-lysine coated cover slips placed in 24-well tissue culture and cultivated for 5 more days in DMEM/F12+10%FBS+AAS medium to reach the confluence.

**Differentiation of HUCB-NSCs in the presence of astrocytes, microglia, neurons oligodendrocytes or endothelial t-END cells**

HUCB-NSCs-labeled with CMFDA were seeded on a monolayer of mature rat brain cells or t-END cells at a density of 5x10⁴cells/cm² and co-cultured in the presence of neurons, astrocytes, microglia, oligodendrocytes or t-END cells for 7 days. The fresh medium was added every 3 days. Then the 7-day co-cultures were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 20 min. Concomitantly, HUCB-NSCs were cultured in media for 7 days and served as respective controls.

**Immunocytochemistry of HUCB-NSCs**

HUCB-NSC co-cultured in the presence of rat brain cells, previously fixed with 4% PF were either blocked with 10% normal goat serum (NGS; Sigma) for NG2, O4, GalC or with 10% normal goat serum and 0.1% Triton X-100 for all the other antibodies used. The following primary antibodies (Lyck et al., 2008) were applied for the overnight incubation at 4°C: mouse monoclonal antibodies directed anti: human Nestin (diluted 1:200, R&D), NF-200 (1:500, Sigma), β-tubulin III (diluted 1:1000, Sigma), MAP-2 (diluted 1:1000; Sigma), O4 (diluted 1:100; Sigma), GalC (diluted 1:150; Chemicon); Ki67 (diluted 1:100; Novocastra) and rabbit polyclonal antibodies anti: GFAP (diluted 1:1000; Cappel), S-100β (diluted 1:2000; Swant), Fibronectin (diluted 1:100;DAKO), NG2 (diluted 1:100; Chemicon) and vWF (diluted 1:200; Sigma). After washing with PBS, the following secondary antibodies were applied for 60 min at RT: goat anti-mouse IgG2b for β-tubulin III, goat anti-mouse IgG1 for NF-200, MAP-2, Ki67 and Nestin, goat anti-mouse IgG3 for GalC, goat anti-mouse IgM for O4, goat anti-rabbit IgG (H+L) for NG2, S-100β and GFAP. Except for IgG3, all the secondary antibodies were conjugated either to Alexa Fluor 546 or Alexa Fluor 488 (Invitrogen) and used in 1:1000 dilutions. For IgG3, the Texas Red or FITC-conjugated secondary antibody was applied (1:100, Southern Biotech). Cell nuclei were stained with 5 µM Hoechst 33258 (Sigma) for 20 min. After
final wash the slides were mounted in Fluoromount-G (Southern Biotech). As control, the first antibodies were omitted during immunocytochemical staining

**Microscopy and cell counting**

The live growing cells or prefixed immunochemically labeled cultures were observed either in phase contrast or in the UV light under a fluorescent microscope using Axiovert 25 or Axioscope 2 (Carl Zeiss), respectively. The images were captured by the Videotronic CCD-4230 camera coupled with the microscope and processed using the computer-based programmable image analyzer KS 300; KS RUN (Carl Zeiss). To obtain detailed images of the cells, a confocal laser scanning microscope (Zeiss LSM 510) was used. An argon laser (488 nm) and helium-neon laser (543 nm) were utilized for the excitation of FITC and Texas Red, respectively. Following acquisition, the images were processed using Zeiss LSM 510 software package v. 2.8 and Corel Draw v. 11.0.

**Layout of the experiment and statistical analysis of the data**

Both each treatment (enrichment of the medium with particular type of neural cells) and appropriate controls were replicated 4-10 times for nearly 4 years. Mostly, these were separate runs of the experiment (29 in total) and therefore in the analysis we treated them as independent. Within replication, each marker was assigned to 3 wells on a 24-well plate and 200 alive cells were inspected for each well and immunopositive cells counted.

The effect of neural cells was expressed as the odds ratio (Agresti, 1990) of differentiation in experimental and control conditions. Odds ratio (OR) was computed as $\frac{\bar{p}_E (1-\bar{p}_C)}{\bar{p}_C (1-\bar{p}_E)}$, where $\bar{p}_E$ and $\bar{p}_C$ stand for average proportion in experimental and control group, respectively. We used percentile bootstrap (Manly, 1997) to obtain confidence intervals for odds ratios. There was no major discrepancy between the pictures obtained.

For graphical presentation of the effect of neural cells compared to control we found 95% confidence intervals for the difference of percentages using Satterthwaite (Welch) method (Zar, 1999, SAS Institute Inc. 2007). The picture was compared with the results obtained by Dunnett, Student and permutation methods (Proc ANOVA, TTEST and NPAR1WAY; SAS Institute Inc. 2007), differences were practically immaterial. On the graphs, we plot pairs of bars (experiment and appropriate control). Half of the CI length is superimposed upon the shorter bar and if it does
not become longer after that, the remaining difference can be considered as the least probable
difference between the true percentages at 95% confidence level.
Results

Characteristic of HUCB-NSCs growing in LS and HS media

HUCB–NSCs cultured in medium form a mixed population of partly floating round-shaped cells and partly adherent spindle-shaped cells with short, bipolar processes (Fig.1A). The proliferation of HUCB-NSCs was 30% on average, as depicted by Ki67+ cells. Immunocytochemical staining for neural specific markers revealed 44% of Nestin+ (expressed in neural stem cells) 29% of NF200+ (an immature neuronal marker) 20% of TUJ1+ (more mature neuronal marker); 43% of S100β+ (a mature astrocytic marker); 1% of O4+ (a mature oligodendrocytic marker) cells (Fig.1B-D).

Phenotypes of HUCB-NSCs co-cultured with astrocytes from neonatal rat brain

The feeder layer of primary astrocytes derived from neonatal rat brain was enriched with astrocytes (94% GFAP+ cells). The marginal content of microglia (2% ED1+ cells), neurons (1% TUJ1+ cells), oligodendrocytes (1% O4+ cells) and fibroblasts (2% Fibronectin+ cells) were also noticed. After 24h of co-culture with astrocytes HUCB-NSCs remained round, but during the next 48h they exhibited typical morphology of neuron-like cells. The co-culture of HUCB-NSCs with astrocytes caused evident decrease in Nestin+ cells compared with HUCB-NSCs cultured in medium (1% vs 29%). Within 7 days of co-culture HUCB-NSCs acquired mature neural markers. The presence of neonatal rat brain astrocytes stimulated the differentiation of HUCB-NSC into neuronal cells while lowering differentiation into astrocyte-like cells. A high number of human cells co-cultured with astrocytes expressed neuronal cytoskeleton marker β tubulin III (76%). Other cytoskeleton protein NF200 was also immunodetected in these cells (65%). NF200 – an early marker was found in non differentiated cells and it was also co-expressed along with β tubulin III marker. MAP2 specific for mature neurons was expressed by 51% of HUCB-NSC descendents. The MAP2 protein was co-expressed with β tubulin III in some cells and localized to neuronal processes. S100β+ and O4+ cells appeared in a marginal amount (0.9% and 0.5%, respectively). Interestingly; we demonstrated that HUCB-NSCs retained the potential to proliferate (24%) when primary astrocytes provided the feeder layer (Fig.1E-H and Fig.2).

Phenotypes of HUCB-NSCs co-cultured with microglia from neonatal rat brain
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The microglia-enriched feeder layer consisting of microglial cells (93% ED1+ cells), astrocytes (2% GFAP+ cells), neurons (2% TUJ1+ cells), oligodendrocytes (1% O4+ cells) and fibroblasts (2% Fibronectin+ cells) created different conditions for HUCB-NSCs differentiation than that provided by astrocytes. HUCB-NSCs co-cultured with microglia acquired a neural phenotype expressing neuronal as well as astrocytic markers. The close vicinity of microglia slightly increased the differentiation rate of HUCNB-NSCs towards neurons as well as astrocytes in comparison with HUCB-NSCs cultured alone (40% vs 30% of TUJ1+; 13% vs 4% of MAP2+ and 56 vs 42% of S100β+ cells). There was no preference to direct HUCB-NSC to differentiate along certain neural cell lineage. Conversely, a decrease in the number of Nestin+ cells was observed in HUCB-NSCs co-cultured with microglia compared to HUCB-NSC cultured in media (5% vs 29%). Notwithstanding the Ki67 immunostaining revealed that the microglia feeder layer preserved the proliferation activity of HUCB-NSCs observed in the control (16% vs 19%) (Fig.1I-L and Fig.3).

**Phenotypes of HUCB-NSCs co-cultured with neurons from neonatal rat brain**

The primary neuronal culture consisted mainly of cells with TUJ1 expression (90-95%). Moreover, 30% of TUJ1+ cells expressed concomitantly MAP2, a mature neuronal marker. Low cell contamination of astrocytes (4% GFAP+ cells) and microglia (1% ED1+ cells) was noticed. Co-culture with neonatal rat brain neurons appeared to be the best for promotion of HUCB-NSC commitment into oligodenroglia-like cells. After 7 DIV HUCB-NSC differentiated mostly into O4-positive cells (65%). The percentage of TUJ1+ and MAP2+ cells retained almost the same level of expression as compared with control HUCB-NSCs (26% vs 30% and 5% vs 4%, respectively). However, the number of S100β+ cells in HUCB-NSCs co-cultured with neurons was about twice lower in comparison with HUCB-NSCs kept in media (23% vs 42%). Interestingly, the mitotic activity depicted by Ki67 immunostaining of HUCB-NSCs cultured in the presence of rat neurons rose significantly to that maintained in media conditions (28% vs 19% of positive cells) (Fig.1M-P and Fig.4).

**Phenotypes of HUCB-NSCs co-cultured with oligodendrocytes from neonatal rat brain**

To obtain mature oligodendrocyte cell culture the NG-2 progenitors were isolated from neonatal rat brains and cultured in vitro for 7-days towards mature, GalC+ cells. The immunocytochemical
characteristics confirmed both the maturation and purity of this oligodendrocyte primary culture (98% O4+ cells, half of them concomitantly expressed GalC). After one week in serum-free medium, the cell content unveiled the presence of sparse neurons (2% TUJ1+ cells). Rat oligodendrocytes were shown to efficiently stimulate HUCB-NSCs differentiation. Immunocytochemical analysis of HUCB-NSCs cultured in the presence of oligodendrocytes revealed that the majority of the HUCB-NSCs differentiated into neurons. The number of NF-200+ cells increased markedly as compared to HUCB-NSC cultured in media (81% vs 27%). The observed results were accompanied by the expansion of TUJ1+ cells (88% vs 30%). Moreover, MAP2+ mature neurons could be occasionally observed. The glial phenotypes were acquired by the minor population of HUCB-NSCs co-cultured with rat oligodendrocytes. The percentage of cells with S100β expression decreased noticeably in comparison with HUCB-NSC cultured in media (7% vs 42%). Conversely, a slight increase in O4+ cells was noted (6% vs 1%). Nestin expression was observed in less than 1% of HUCB-NSCs co-cultured with rat oligodendrocytes as compared with the control ones (29%), which together with a significant decrease in Ki67+ (12% vs 19%), indicate the strong stimulating influence of the oligodendrocytes on HUCB-NSC terminal neuronal differentiation (Fig.1Q-T and Fig.5).

**Phenotypes of HUCB-NSCs co-cultured in the presence of endothelial cells**

Endothelial cells used as a contact cell culture system with HUCB-NSC do not favor any phenotypes of human cell neural commitment. Nevertheless, in the presence of endothelial cells derived from the thymus capillary of mice the higher percentage of TUJ1+ and S100β+ cells was found in HUCB-NSC after 7DIV co-culture in comparison with HUCB-NSC cultured in media (41 vs 30% and 60 vs 42%, respectively). Concomitantly, the slight decrease in the percentage of Nestin+ cells was observed (21% vs 29%). At the same time HUCB-NSC cultured in the presence of tEND cells revealed higher mitotic potential (Ki67+) than control HUCB-NSC (27% vs 19%). (Fig.1U-X and Fig.6).
Discussion

The present study explored the possibility that distinct cells in brain parenchyma have different influence on neural stem cell lineage commitments. To evaluate better the contributions of astrocytes, microglia, neurons and oligodendrocytes to HUCB-NSCs, we used a contact cell-culture system to observe in vitro the morphological changes and the expression of immunophenotypes of HUCB-NSC descendents in such cultures with different rat brain cells.

Astrocytes were shown to promote survival and induce neurogenesis both by adult neural (Barker & Ullian, 2010; Kornyei et al., 2005; Lim & Alvarez-Buylla, 1999; Markiewicz et al., 2006; Song et al., 2002) and by embryonic stem cells (Nakayama et al., 2003), in vitro. Extending our previous observation (Jurga et al., 2006), we found that astrocytes from the neonatal rat brain increase neurogenesis from cultured HUCB-NSCs, promoting their proliferation and neuronal maturation. Our data show an increase in the number of neuronal cells (NF-200⁺, TUJ1⁺, MAP-2⁺) produced from HUCB-NSCs on a feeder-layer of neonatal rat astrocytes as compared to those cultured in parallel in media alone. The expression of NF200 and TUJ1, the neuronal markers during the seven day long experiments reveals constant production of these cells in co-culture with astrocytes. Moreover, we observed there was more than a tenfold increase in the percentage of mature neurons (MAP2⁺). In contrast to the observed influence on the neuronal commitment of HUCB-NSCs, the neonatal rat astrocytes did not promote their differentiation into astrocytes. This was in concordance with other findings. Song et al., (2002) showed that astrocytes from the hippocampus of newborn rats increase the rate of proliferation of adult neural stem cells and steer their progeny to become neurons, rather than glia. The authors demonstrated that there is a significant regional specificity in the ability of astrocytes to induce neurogenesis. Astrocytes purified from hippocampus retain the potential to encourage neuronal differentiation however spinal cord astrocytes, one of the non-neurogenic regions, are ineffective in promoting neurogenesis from adult stem cells. Culturing dissociated postnatal or adult cells isolated from the mice subventricular zone (SVZ) on astrocyte monolayer, supported extensive neurogenesis similar to that observed in vivo (Lim & Alvarez-Buylla, 1999). SVZ precursors proliferated rapidly on astrocytes to form aggregates containing neuroblasts. The data provided by Nakayama et al., (2003) showed that aggregate formation and pre-differentiation of neural stem cells rendered these cells responsive to astroglia-derived neurogenic signals. Although these
observations confirm that astrocytes have the potential to induce neuron maturation, little is known about the factors responsible for this effect. Lim and Alvarez-Buylla (1999) have shown that direct contact with astrocytes is necessary for the proliferation of subventricular zone (SVZ) neuronal precursors and differentiation into neuroblasts.

The contribution of microglia to the modulation of neurogenesis has been less explored. Until recently, microglial cells have been ignored as part of the environment that could affect the proliferation and differentiation of neural stem cells (NSC). However, CNS inflammation sustained by microglia has been associated with the inhibition of neurogenesis (Ekdahl et al., 2003; Liu et al., 2007). Lately, both pro- and anti-neurogenic effects have been reported, reflecting the complexity of microglial activation (Cacci et al., 2008; Walton et al., 2006; Ziv et al., 2006). It was shown that acutely activated microglia reduced the NSC survival, prevented neuronal differentiation and strongly increased glial differentiation (Cacci et al., 2005; Monje et al., 2003) whereas chronically activated microglia were permissive to neuronal differentiation and still supported glial maturation (Battista et al., 2006; Butovsky et al., 2006). We demonstrate that in vitro exposure of HUCB-NSCs to microglia derived from neonatal rat brain stimulates both neuronal as well as glial differentiation. When induced to differentiate, a progressive reduction in Nestin expressing progenitors was observed. Our observations are consistent with the data reporting the generation of new neurons from NSC and the maintenance of astrocytes by unstimulated or chronically stimulated microglia (Cacci et al., 2008). Simultaneous pro-neurogenic and pro-gliogenic effect of neonatal rat brain microglia on HUCB-NSCs observed in our studies might be the effects of distinct microglial functional profiles on NSC differentiation.

Until now, limited information has been available on neuronal cell contribution to the regulation of neurogenesis. Wu et al., (2003) presented a study of the olfactory epithelium in which the generation of new neurons by neuronal progenitors was inhibited by a signal from neurons themselves. They identified a growth and differentiation factor 11 (GDF11) as a feedback inhibitory signal of neurogenesis. GDN11, also known as bone morphogenetic protein 11 (BMP11) was shown to play a general role in modulating neural differentiation (Ge et al., 2005). In our experiments neuron-enriched cultures are not sufficient to promote neuron and astrocyte differentiation. Notably, the fraction of oligodendrocytes (O4+) increased significantly under these conditions, indicating that primary neurons promote oligogenesis from HUCB-NSCs. The same pattern was reported by Song et al., (2002). Within six days of co-culture with
neurons, most neural stem cells isolated from rat hippocampus acquired mature oligodendrocytic markers, such as RIP. Neurons are known to control the development of myelinated glial cells. There are studies suggesting that axons promote oligodendrocyte development by helping to drive proliferation of oligodendrocyte precursor cells (OPCs) or by promoting the survival of mature, myelinating oligodendrocytes (Bares & Raff, 1999; Simons & Trajcovic, 2006).

Not only was the influence on the oligodendrocyte survival rate reported but the auto-regulation of neurogenesis by mature neurones highlighted as well. (Hastings & Gould, 2003). In our studies, the numbers of mature neurons (TUJ1+, MAP2+) in the co-culture of HUCB-NSC on a feeder layer of primary neurons were comparable with those cultured in medium alone.

Oligodendrocytes were reported to influence neurons multi-directionally: they induce local accumulation of neurofilaments within axon, regulate axonal calibre and stability, generate myelin, as well as are potent to either promote or inhibit neuronal survival and development (Allen & Barres, 2005; Fields & Stevens-Graham, 2002; Göritz et al., 2007; Kinrade & Hidalgo, 2004; Simons & Trajkovic, 2006). Differentiated oligodendrocytes are known to secrete GDNF and IGF-1 that regulate both the neuronal survival and axonal length, by activating two intracellular signalling pathways: the PI3kinase/Akt and MAPkinase/Erk (Atwal et al., 2000; Wilkins et al., 2003). Other neurotrophic factors (midkine, TGF-β2, HGF, actin A, BDNF) stimulated neurite outgrowth in vitro (Zhang et al., 2006), as well as increased the survival rate of transplanted dopamine neurons (Sortwell et al., 2000). On the other hand, the molecules expressed by oligodendrocytes (Nogo, MAG, OMgp) were shown to inhibit axon outgrowth (Ng et al., 1996; He & Koprivica, 2004; Wang et al., 2010). Glial restricted progenitors were shown to be proliferatively active even in the adult brain (Magnus et al., 2008; Tamura et al., 2007; White et al., 2010). The CNS insults such as brain or spinal cord injury trigger the mobilisation of endogenous progenitor cells that migrate and finally differentiate into myelin-forming cell (Nielsen et al., 2006; Nistor et al., 2005). The presence of newly formed oligodendrocytes differently influences the scar local microenvironment, presumably enhancing or inhibiting regeneration and functional recovery. The transplanted HUCB were shown to differentiate into myelin-forming cells, which secreted neurotrophin-3 (NT-3) and brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) (Dasari et al., 2007). The emerging evidence, however, indicates that just after the spinal cord injury the Nogo-A mRNA is up regulated around the lesion, probably contributing to the failure of the axon regeneration (Hunt et al., 2003; Zhang et al., 2008).
Furthermore, the protein was shown to inhibit migration and differentiation of the oligodendrocyte precursor cells, which is another obstacle to functional recovery following the CNS injury (Su et al., 2007; Syed et al., 2008).

In our experiments direct contact with myelin-forming cells accelerated differentiation of HUCB-NSCs mostly into immature neurons (NF200+, TUJ1+) indicating promotion of neurogenesis. This observation may correspond to the hypothesis suggesting the active role of oligodendrocytes in tissue restoration. Accordingly, the oligodendrocytes might induce neurogenesis from endogenous stem cells (recruited by factors of local inflammation) and stimulate their differentiation in order to currently required cell replacement. In view of our research, the oligodendrocyte function in neuronal promotion of adult stem cells links them to the cell population that regulate neurogenesis.

Accumulating evidence suggests the essential role the endothelial cells play in the regulation of stem cell self-renewal and differentiation. The first hint that endothelial cells are implicated in neurogenesis date back to 1999 when Leventhal et al showed that co-culture of adult rat SVZ explants with endothelial cells resulted in significantly more neurons (Leventhal et al., 1999). It has been demonstrated that in the presence of endothelial cells adult neural stem cells undergo proliferate divisions to produce undifferentiated progenitors with multipotential capacity (Mathieu et al., 2008, Shen et al., 2004). The presence of endothelial cells inhibits neural stem cell differentiation with the increase of the number of cells expressing Nestin (Shen et al., 2004; Guo et al., 2008; Plane et al., 2010; Suzuki et al., 2010). On the other hand the presence of endothelial cells increased the number of neural progenitors having “side population” phenotype, which have shown to contain quiescent cells (Mathieu et al., 2006).

In our study, the co-culture of HUCB-NSC with t-END cells triggered their proliferation (more Ki67+ cells were observed than in the control) while simultaneously primed them to differentiate. In fact, the great majority of HUCB-NSC still expressed Nestin and the differentiation potential of HUCB-NSC co-cultured with t-END cells was much lower than in the presence of astrocytes, microglia, neurons or oligodendrocytes. It was recently reported that endothelial cells induce differentiation of neural stem cells into neurons and astrocytes but not into oligodendrocytes (Imura et al., 2008; Lai et al., 2008). The mechanism of this dual regulation remains elusive. Endothelial cells are known to enhance neurogenesis possibly through the secretion of different factors specifying the fate of neural stem cells. The profile of
signalling molecules may induce a shift in the mixed population of proliferating and differentiating neural progenitors to promote their self-renewal or differentiation.

In conclusion, our studies have shown that HUCB-NSC can read cues from the neurogenic microenvironment. This is further supported by the observations that HUCB-NSC survived in the presence of rat brain cells and subsequently attained features of neurons and glia. Rat astrocytes and oligodendrocytes strongly promote HUCB-NSC neuronal differentiation. In turn, post-mitotic neurons provide differentiating neural progenitors of human cord blood origin with distinctive pro-oligodendrogenic signals. However, microglia and endothelial cells do not promote any particular neural commitment of these cells. Our results strongly suggest that brain cellular microenvironment may provide key signals guiding stem/progenitor cells to differentiate into three main neural lineages. The specific responses of neurally committed cord blood-derived cells, reported in the present work, are very much similar to those described previously for NSCs derived from other “more typical” sources. This proves their genuine neural nature still further. Apart from having a better insight into the neurogenesis in adult brain, these findings might be important when predicting the cord blood-cell derivatives behavior after their transplantation in neurological disorders.
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Fig. 1. HUCB-NSCs in a culture (A-D), and neural marker expression in HUCB-NSCs co-cultured with neonatal rat brain cells: astrocytes (E-H), microglia (I-L), neurons (M-P), oligodendrocytes (Q-T) and endothelial cells (U-Y). Specific immunostaining for Nestin (B), NF200 and TUJ1 (C) or S-100β (D) in HUCB-NSC population. Cells stained with Texas Red after immune reactions were detected simultaneously and Hoechst 33258 staining showed their nuclei (blue). Neonatal rat astrocyte primary culture monolayer.
immune labeled with anti-GFAP antibody (red) co-cultured with HUCB-NSCs (coloured green by CMFDA) (E). Neural differentiation of HUCB-NSCs cultured with rat astrocytes. HUCB-NSC (green by CMFDA and red by Texas red after phenotype specific immune reaction) can be detected in the presence of all cells forming astrocyte primary culture shown after using Hoechst 33258 (blue). Co-localization of red and green labeling appears yellow after overlaying these two images. NF200 (F) and TUJ1 (G) expressing cells display neuron-like morphology with axonal projection. S-100β expression (red) is detected yellow in some green pre-labeled HUCB-NSC (H). Microglia of rat primary culture stained with anti-ED1 antibody (red) co-cultured with HUCB-NSCs (coloured green by CMFDA) (I). Immunophenotyping of NF200 (J), TUJ1 (K) and S-100β (L) positive cells (red) in HUCB-NSCs (green pre-labeled with CMFDA co-cultured with rat microglia. Neonatal rat post-mitotic neuron primary culture monolayer immunostained with anti-TUJ1 antibody (red) co-cultured with HUCB-NSCs (coloured green by CMFDA) (M). Immunolabeling for NF200 (N), TUJ1 (O) and O4 (P) positive cells (red) in HUCB-NSCs (green pre-labeled with CMFDA) cultured in the presence of mature rat neurons. Oligodendrocyte enriched rat primary culture stained with anti-NG2 antibody (red) co-cultured with HUCB-NSCs (coloured green by CMFDA) (Q). Immune reaction depicting NF200 (R), TUJ1 (S) and GalC (T) positive cells (red) among HUCB-NSCs (green pre-labeled CMFDA) co-cultured with rat oligodendrocytes. Endothelial cells (t-END line) monolayer stained with anti-vWF antibody (red) co-cultured with HUCB-NSCs (coloured green by CMFDA) (U). Immunostaining for Ki67 (Y), TUJ1 (W) and S-100β (X) positive cells (red) in HUCB-NSC population (green pre-labeled with CMFDA) cultured in the presence of t-END cells.

Scale bars 20 µm.

Fig.2. Effects of astrocytes on the proliferation and differentiation of HUCB-NSCs into various types of neural cells. For each experiment-control pair, superimposed on the shorter bar is a half of confidence interval for the difference of percentages. The remaining gap between bars reflects the least probable difference at 95% confidence level. ****: p<0.0001.
Fig. 3. Effects of microglia on the proliferation and differentiation of HUCB-NSCs into various types of neural cells. Details as in Fig. 2.; *: p<0.05; **: p<0.01; ***: p<0.001; ****: p<0.0001.

Fig. 4. Effects of post-mitotic neurons on the proliferation and differentiation of HUCB-NSCs into various types of neural cells. Details as in Figs. 2 and 3.
Fig. 5. Effects of oligodendrocytes on the proliferation and differentiation of HUCB-NSCs into various types of neural cells. Details as in Figs. 2 and 3.

Fig. 6. Effects of endothelial cells on the proliferation and differentiation of HUCB-NSCs into various types of neural cells. Details as in Figs. 2 and 3.
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